

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

MS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/407, 064 03/20/95 KATZ

R 6046-101NA

022249
LYON AND LYON LLP
SUITE 4700
633 WEST FIFTH STREET
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066

LM02/1007

EXAMINER

WOO, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2743

23

DATE MAILED:

10/07/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/407,064	App. Unit(s) Katz
	Examiner Stella Woo	Group Art Unit 2743

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stella Woo

(3) _____

(2) Reena Kuyper

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 4, 1999

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 34

Identification of prior art discussed:

"Vision by telephone" publication

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Ms. Kuyper questioned the relevancy of Hussain to the field of surveillance. The examiner finds Hussain relevant because it is directed to a monitoring system which monitors a plurality of remote locations over dial-up telephone lines, as with the monitoring system in the "Vision by telephone" publication. It was also pointed out that the publication inherently provides for an interrupt signal since the usual surveillance sequence is interrupted when an alarm condition is detected. Captured images of the location at which the alarm condition was detected are sent and displayed along with identification data.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


STELLA WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2743

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.